# Evaluation Report 272



Morris M-600 Air Flow Seeder



#### **MORRIS M-600 AIR FLOW SEEDER**

#### MANUFACTURER AND DISTRIBUTOR:

Morris Rod Weeder Co. Ltd. 85 York Road Yorkton, Saskatchewan S3N 2X2 RETAIL PRICE: (February, 1982, f.o.b. Yorkton, Saskatchewan)

- a. Morris M-600 air ow seeder complete with seed boots and distribution system to feed 31 shanks. \$22,947.00
- b. Morris CP-731 9.5 m (31 ft) heavy duty cultivator complete with attached harrows \$13,907.00



FIGURE 1. Morris M-600 Air Flow Seeder: (A) Fan, (B) Meter Operation indicator, (C) Ladder, (D) Metering System, (E) Tanks, (F) Primary Distribution Tube, (G) Hitch Height Turnbuckle, (H) Secondary Header, (I) Seed Boot.

#### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Overall functional performance of the Morris M-600 air ow seeder was good in all seeding conditions. Performance was good when banding fertilizer at low application rates. When operated with the 9.5 m (31 ft) Morris CP-731 heavy duty cultivator, the Morris M-600 was suitable for seeding both in primary and in secondary eld conditions. The Morris was also suitable for banding fertilizer at application rates up to 172 kg/ha (153 lb/ac) at 9 km/h (5.5 mph). When equipped with an alternate larger fan, supplied by the manufacturer at the end of the evaluation, the Morris M-600 was capable of banding fertilizer at application rates up to 263 kg/ha (234 lb/ac) at 9 km/h (5.5 mph). Higher application rates were possible at reduced speeds.

Seed placement was good in most conditions. Variation in seed depth was slightly higher than with a conventional hoe drill when measured in the same elds under the same seeding conditions. The crop emerged in distinct rows with seed band widths ranging from 85 to 135 mm (3.3 to 5.3 in) behind each seed boot. With 305 mm (12 in) shank spacing, distance between rows varied from 170 to 220 mm (6.7 to 8.7 in). Row spacing and seed band width were usually wide enough to provide stubble support for most windrows, providing very light crops were not laid parallel to seeding rows. Maintaining good cultivator frame levelling and ensuring a seed depth of at least 50 mm (2 in) were critical in ensuring good emergence.

The manufacturer's metering calibrations were acceptable in barley, oats and fertilizer. The measured calibration was 22% higher than the manufacturer's rate for wheat and was over twice the manufacturer's rate for rapeseed at normal seeding rates.

Distribution uniformity across the seeding width in wheat, barley, oats and rapeseed was acceptable at all normal seeding rates. Distribution uniformity was acceptable in fertilizer at rates up to 160 kg/ha (142 lb/ac).

Field bounce, eld slope and ground speed variation had little effect on metering rates. Travelling up a 10 degree slope caused a 10% increase in seeding rate and a 12% increase in fertilizing rate. Travelling down a 10 degree slope caused a 10% decrease in seeding rate and a 17% decrease in fertilizing rate, Distribution uniformity was only slightly affected by eld slope.

Seeding rate was easily adjusted. Tank and meter cleanout was inconvenient. Tank Iling by hand was possible but was more convenient with a drill II. Five grease ttings and two wheel bearings on the applicator required greasing.

The Morris M-600 with CP-731 cultivator could be placed in transport position in less than ve minutes. Operator visibility of the cultivator was unobstructed by the low pro le tanks. Rate of work usually ranged from 7.6 to 9.5 ha/hr (19 to 24 ac/hr).

About 24 ha (58 ac) could be seeded before re lling both tanks when seeding wheat at a normal seeding rate.

Tractor size depended on eld conditions, seeding depth, ground speed, cultivator width, and soil nishing attachments. In light primary tillage, at 75 mm (3 in) depth and 8 km/h (5 mph), a 95 kW (128 hp) tractor was needed to operate the applicator-cultivator combination. In heavy primary tillage, at the same depth and speed, a 115 kW (154 hp) tractor was needed.

The operator's manual contained information on safety, adjustment, speci cations, maintenance and operation. A detailed parts list was also included.

Only minor mechanical problems occurred during evaluation.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

- It is recommended that the manufacturer consider:
- Indicating in the operator's manual the actual seed densities used in preparation of the meter calibration charts.
- 2. Improving the metering calibration for rapeseed.
- 3. Modi cations to the distribution system to improve distribution uniformity in fertilizer at high application rates.
- 4. Providing a higher output fan to permit the high fertilizer banding rates commonly used on the prairies.
- 5. Providing, as optional equipment, a monitoring system to monitor material ow.
- 6. Supplying a calibration setting so the area meter can be used for readout in SI units.
- 7. Improving access to seed and fertilizer metering shaft grease tting.
- 8. Supplying a slow moving vehicle sign as standard equipment.
- 9. Including accurate and complete meter calibration charts in the operator's manual.
- 10. Providing, as standard equipment, some means of supporting the primary distribution tubes on the cultivator.
- Modi cations to metering chain drive tensioners to prevent chain loosening.
   Senior Engineer: E. H. Wiens

Project Engineer: R. K. Allam

### THE MANUFACTURER STATES THAT

- With regard to recommendation number:
- 1. Densities for the materials used to establish meter calibrations will be given in all future manuals and calibration charts.
- 2. Improved rapeseed metering calibrations will be provided in the future.

- 3. We are presently working on modi cations to the distribution system which will improve distribution uniformity in fertilizer, as well as seed.
- 4. All future M-600 air seeders will be equipped with a new fan to permit higher fertilizer banding rates.
- 5. No optional monitoring system will be offered. We will leave it to individual farmers and dealers to purchase the system they desire.
- 6. A metric calibration setting chart is being prepared at the present time.
- 7. This recommendation has been noted and will be considered in future runs of the M-600 air seeder.
- 8. Presently, we supply a bracket for mounting a slow moving vehicle sign. However, supplying of the sign is left to the individual farmers and dealers. If it becomes mandatory by !aw that machinery companies supply a slow moving vehicle sign with each machine they sell, then we would comply with this recommendation.
- 9. New calibration charts will be included in future manuals.
- 10. Various mounting hardware packages for mounting air seeder hoses on Morris equipment will be made available.
- A spring loaded, chain tightener is now available and a eld changeover is presently underway, updating previously sold M-600 machines.

**NOTE:** This report has been prepared using SI units of measurement. A conversion table is given in APPENDIX III.

#### **GENERAL DESCRIPTION**

The Morris M-600 air ow seeder is a pneumatic seed and fertilizer applicator designed for use with varying makes and models of light, medium and heavy duty cultivators.

The cultivator is attached to the rear of the applicator with the standard cultivator hitch. The applicator is supported by two wheels, each on single axles.

Seed and fertilizer are pneumatically distributed from two tanks, through a network of tubes to seed boots attached to the rear of each cultivator shank. The applicator can be used for seeding, for combined seed and fertilizer application and for fertilizer banding.

Seed and fertilizer are metered, by pegged rollers which rotate inside seed cups mounted below the tanks. Metering is controlled by variable speed drives, chain driven from the left applicator wheel. Meters are controlled by an electric meter shutoff located in the tractor cab. A power take-off driven fan forces the metered material through the distribution system. The tanks are pressurized for positive metering of material. The distribution system consists of four primary tubes, each connected to one of four sets of pegged metering rollers across the machine width, feeding four secondary headers mounted on the cultivator. Three of the four sets of pegged rollers contained 9 rollers, each feeding a 9-port secondary header, while the fourth contained 5 pegged rollers feeding an 8-port header with 4 ports blocked. The ports are blocked in a symmetrical pattern, with each blocked port adjacent to an open port.

The test machine was used with a Morris CP-731 heavy duty cultivator. This cultivator was 9.5 m (31 ft) wide with a 4 m (13 ft) centre frame and two 2.7 m (9 ft) wing sections. It was equipped with 31 spring trip shanks, spaced at 305 mm (12 in) arranged in 3 rows. The cultivator was equipped with optional three-row mounted harrows.

Detailed speci cations for the applicator and cultivator are given in APPENDIX I while FIGURE 1 shows the location of major components.

#### SCOPE OF TEST

The Morris M-600 was operated in loam and clay soils in the eld conditions shown in TABLE 1 for approximately 118 hours while processing about 615 ha (1520 ac). It was evaluated for quality and rate of work, ease of operation and adjustment, power requirements, safety and suitability of the operator's manual.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION QUALITY OF WORK

Metering Accuracy: The grain and fertilizer metering system was calibrated in the laboratory<sup>1</sup> and compared with the

manufacturer's calibration. Since actual seed rates for certain settings depended on things such as seed size, density and moisture content, it is not possible for a manufacturer to present charts to include all the varieties of seed. Field calibration checks may be necessary for seed with properties differing from those used in establishing the manufacturer's charts. Research has, however, shown that small variations in seeding rates will not signi cantly affect grain crop yields.

#### TABLE 1. Operating Conditions.

| CROP                         | FIELD TILLAGE<br>CONDITIONS | STONE<br>CONDITIONS | FIELD<br>AREA (ha) | HOURS |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------|
| Durum wheat on stubble       | Secondary                   | Occasional stones   | 25                 | 5     |
| Durum wheat on summerfallow  | Secondary                   | Occasional stones   | 70                 | 13    |
| Spring wheat on summerfallow | Secondary                   | Occasional stones   | 36                 | 7     |
| Spring wheat on stubble      | Secondary                   | Occasional stones   | 30                 | 6     |
| Spring wheat on stubble      | Primary                     | Stone free          | 135                | 26    |
| Barley on stubble            | Secondary                   | Occasional stones   | 70                 | 12    |
| Flax on summerfallow         | Secondary                   | Occasional stones   | 10                 | 2     |
| Spring wheat on stubble      | Primary                     | Occasional stones   | 100                | 20    |
| Winter wheat on summerfallow | Secondary                   | Occasional stones   | 65                 | 13    |
| Winter wheat on stubble      | Primary                     | Stone free          | 10                 | 2     |
| Banding fertilizer           | Primary                     | Occasional stones   | 65                 | 12    |
| TOTAL                        |                             |                     | 615                | 118   |

The metering calibration chart in the Morris M-600 operator's manual did not include a calibration for rapeseed. An updated metering calibration chart was received from the manufacturer at the end of the test and included a calibration for rapeseed as well as a more accurate calibration for barley and wheat. The seed densities used by the manufacturer for calibration were not given. It is recommended that they be included to permit the operator to compare seed densities to determine if eld meter calibrations are necessary.

Calibration curves for wheat, barley and oats, using the rear meter, are given in FIGURES 2 to 4. PAMI's calibration curves are compared to the manufacturer's calibration curves. At a seeding rate of 80 kg/ha (70 lb/ac), measured rates were 22% higher than the manufacturer's calibration in wheat, 5% lower in barley, and 12% lower than the manufacturer's rate in oats.



FIGURE 2. Metering Accuracy for the Rear Meter in Wheat.

At a seeding rate of 7 kg/ha (6.2 lb/ac) in rapeseed (FIGURE 5), the measured rate was over twice the manufacturer's indicated rate. At a seeding rate of 3 kg/ha (2.7 lb/ac), the measured rate was three times the manufacturer's indicated rate. It is recommended that the manufacturer's calibration for rapeseed be improved.

As is shown in FIGURE 6, the manufacturer's metering calibration for fertilizer, using the front meter, was accurate with little difference between measured and manufacturer's application rates.

The Morris M-600 metering calibrations were not identical for the front and rear meters due to differing meter variable drive box ratios. For the calibrations discussed above, the rear meter was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>T773, "Detailed Test Procedures for Grain Drills".

used for wheat, barley, oats and rapeseed, while the front meter was used for fertilizer. A tank partition cover plate could be removed to allow application of one material from both tanks using one meter.





Machine and eld variables such as eld bounce, side-slope and ground speed had little effect on metering rates. Travelling up a 10 degree slope caused a 10% increase in seeding rate and a 12% increase in fertilizing rate. Travelling down a 10 degree slope caused a 10% decrease in seeding rate and a 17% decrease in fertilizing rate. Although ground drive wheel slippage in soft elds is common with many ground driven applicators, no ground drive wheel slippage of the large diameter drive wheel was experienced with the Morris.

**Distribution Uniformity:** The pneumatic distribution system distributed seed uniformly from the metering system to the individual Page 4

shank boots. FIGURE 7 gives seeding distribution uniformity for the Morris M-600 in wheat, barley and oats. Distribution was uniform over the full range of seeding rates. For example, at a seeding rate of 80 kg/ha (70 lb/ac) the coef cient of variation<sup>2</sup> (CV) was 5.5% in wheat, 6% in barley, and 6.5% in oats. Seeding distribution in rapeseed (FIGURE 8) was also uniform with CV's ranging from 10 to 15% over the full seeding range.



FIGURE 7. Seeding Uniformity in Cereal Grains at 9 km/h.

FIGURE 9 shows acceptable distribution uniformity in 11-51-00 fertilizer at rates up to 160 kg/ha (142 lb/ac). At higher rates, uniformity became unacceptable with CV's above 15%. All rates, shown in FIGURE 9, in excess of 172 kg/ha (153 lb/ac) were obtained using an alternate larger fan, supplied by the manufacturer at the end of the evaluation. It is recommended that the manufacturer consider modi cations to the distribution system to improve distribution uniformity at high application rates in fertilizer.

Distribution uniformity decreased at higher fertilizing rates due to the increased volume of seed being introduced into the constant volume of air supplied by the fan. Similarly, due to the air supply remaining constant regardless of forward speed or machine width, changes in distribution pattern uniformity could occur at different forward speeds or for different machine widths.

Seeding or fertilizing up or down a 10 degree slope or on a 10 degree side-slope had little effect on distribution uniformity.

Grain Damage: Grain damage by the metering and distribution system was well within acceptable limits for cereal grains and for rapeseed. For example, in dry Neepawa wheat at 11% moisture

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The coef cient of variation (CV) is the standard deviation of seeding rates from individual shanks expressed as a per cent of the average seeding rate. An accepted variation for seeding grain or applying fertilizer is a CV value not greater than 15%. If the CV is less than 15%, distribution is acceptably uniform, whereas if the CV is greater than 15%, the variation in application rate among individual shanks is excessive.

content, only 0.1% crackage occurred. In dry rapeseed with a moisture content of 7%, 0.6% crackage occurred.







FIGURE 9. Distribution Uniformity in 11-51-00 Fertilizer at 9 km/h.

**Seed Placement:** Each seed boot consisted of a divider with two outlets (FIGURE 10) to spread the seed behind each cultivator sweep. However, the seed boot provided limited spreading behind each shank. In most elds it was possible to observe distinct rows ranging in band width from 85 to 135 mm (3.3 to 5.3 in) (FIGURE 11). With 305 mm (12 in) cultivator shank spacing, distances between rows varied from 170 mm (6.7 in) to 220 mm (8.7 in). This row spacing provided adequate windrow support providing light crops were laid across the rows rather than parallel to them.

Although seeds were usually placed on the furrow bottom at the working depth of each individual cultivator sweep, depth across the width of the machine varied due to cultivator frame geometry and non-uniform eld surfaces. On level and gently rolling elds, vertical seed distribution was quite uniform. For example, at an average seeding depth of 60 mm (2.4 in), seeding depth across the width of the machine varied from 40 to 95 mm (1.6 to 3.7 in) with most of the seeds being placed within 15 mm (0.6 in) of the average cultivator sweep working depth. This compares to a vertical variation of from 12 to 15 mm (0.45 to 0.6 in) from average seeding depth for a hoe drill in similar conditions.

In elds with sharp hill crests or gullies, seed depth variation became much greater than for a hoe drill due to the greater distances between shank rows on a heavy duty cultivator than on a hoe drill.

Vertical seed distribution was not adversely affected by eld tillage conditions. The shanks on the Morris CP-731 cultivator were suf ciently rigid to maintain a fairly uniform sweep pitch (FIGURE 13), with resultant uniform tillage depth, over a wide range of soil conditions.

Plant Emergence: As with most seeding implements, time and

uniformity of plant emergence depended on seedbed preparation, soil moisture and seed placement. The Morris was used to seed in a number of elds with different types of seedbed preparation. Uniform emergence resulted as long machine settings were carefully adjusted to place seed in moist soil at the correct depth and providing loose seedbeds were packed after seeding. FIGURE 11 shows good wheat emergence when wheat was seeded into a pre-worked stubble eld.



FIGURE 10. Morris Seed Boot: (A) Shank, (B) Seed Boot, (C) Sweep



FIGURE 11. Uniform Wheat Emergence in Pre-worked Stubble (Upper: 25 days after Seeding, Lower: At Harvest).

Careful cultivator frame levelling was important in obtaining uniform emergence across the cultivator width. Due to the rigidity of heavy duty cultivator frames, improper sideways levelling and fore-and-aft levelling can both result in rows of shanks operating at different depths.

Seeding Depth: It is very important to seed deep enough to obtain uniform seed coverage. Correct cultivator adjustments for pneumatic seeding were best obtained by comparing the depth of

seeds placed by several shanks across the cultivator width and from both the front and rear shank rows. This permitted accurate frame levelling to obtain uniform seed coverage. Seeding shallower than 50 mm (2 in) is not recommended for a heavy duty cultivator, due to poor seed coverage and generally poor cultivator performance at shallow tillage depths.

Frame levelling had to be checked and appropriate depth adjustments made when changing elds to ensure adequate, uniform seed coverage.

**Soil Finishing:** For this evaluation, the Morris CP-731 cultivator was equipped with optional three-row mounted harrows. Although the mounted harrows assisted in smoothing the soil surface and in breaking loose soil lumps, increased ground force for more aggressive harrow action would have been desirable for most eld conditions encountered.

The Morris M-600 with CP-731 cultivator was not equipped with packers. Since it was considered essential to pack most elds seeded with the Morris M-600, a harrow-packer drawbar<sup>3</sup> equipped with ve bar tine harrows and trailing steel coil packers was used as a follow-up operation. The harrow-packer combination served to further smooth and pack the seedbed, leaving packer ridges from 20 to 30 mm (0.8 to 1.2 in). To obtain a smooth, rm seedbed in dry conditions required packer drawbar operations in two directions. Care had to be used in moist conditions to avoid over packing the seedbed. FIGURE 12 shows a typical seedbed after seeding into stubble both before and after use of the harrow-packer drawbar.



FIGURE 12. Morris Seedbed (Upper: Before Packing, Lower: After Packing).

**Shank Characteristics:** The Morris CP-731 cultivator was equipped with adjustable, spring trip shank holders. During the test, it was used with 405 mm (16 in) wide Edwards sweeps with 43 degree stem angles, giving a no-load sweep pitch of 4 degrees. These shanks were suitable for seeding since sweep pitch (FIGURE 13) varied only 4 degrees over the full range of draft normally expected for a heavy duty cultivator. This resulted in uniform tillage depth and a smooth furrow bottom over a wide range of soil conditions.

Shank tripping, with new shanks, occurred at drafts greater than 10.4 kN (728 lb/ft), which was well beyond the normal primary tillage draft range, indicating that the Morris CP-731 was suited for heavy primary tillage.

The shanks performed well in stony elds. Maximum lift height to clear obstructions was 206 mm (10.2 in).

**Penetration:** When equipped with 43 degree, 406 mm (16 in) sweeps, penetration was adequate in nearly all eld conditions

and it was easy to obtain correct seeding depth. Correct seeding depth could not be obtained in elds with very rm furrow bottoms. Penetration was uniform across the cultivator width provided all depth control linkages and hitch height were kept properly adjusted.



FIGURE 13. Sweep Pitch Variation over Normal Range of Draft (305 mm Shank Spacing).

The cultivator wheels were positioned so that each centre section wheel supported about 18% of the total cultivator weight while each wing wheel supported about 14%. In addition, each centre wheel supported about 16% of the total tillage suction force while each wing wheel supported about 19%. Cultivator or pneumatic seeder sinking was not a problem in moderately soft soils. Since the pneumatic seeder was not supported by the cultivator wheels, but was carried on its own wheels, it did not contribute to cultivator sinking in soft soils.

**Trash Clearance:** The Morris CP-731 cultivator had excellent trash clearance. In heavy, loose trash it was necessary to either raise the mounted tine harrows or release the tine angle adjustment to allow the trash to clear the harrows.

With the harrows correctly adjusted it was possible to operate in elds with a heavier trash cover than was possible with a conventional hoe drill.

**Skewing and Stability:** The Morris M-600 air ow seeder and CP-731 cultivator combination were very stable and sideways skewing occurred only in very hilly conditions. The cultivator shank pattern was symmetrical and did not impose any side forces on the cultivator during normal tillage. When equipped with 406 mm (16 in) sweeps, the cultivator had to skew more than 2.4 degrees to miss weeds. Throughout the evaluation period, in normal seeding conditions, skewing was never serious enough to cause weeds to be missed.

Weed Kill: Weed kill was very good when equipped with 406 mm (16 in) sweeps. The 305 mm (12 in) shank spacing resulted in 100 mm (4 in) sweep overlap. Considerable sweep wear could occur before weeds were missed. However, to ensure adequate sweep lift is maintained for proper seed placement, sweeps should be replaced before signi cant wear is evident.

**Fertilizer Banding:** The Morris M-600 could be used for two types of fertilizer application. It could be used for normal fertilizer application at seeding time by metering fertilizer from one tank and grain from the other and applying both through the same seed boots. When equipped with chisel points and alternate banding boots (FIGURE 14), it could also be used for fertilizer banding.

Banding is a relatively new method of fertilizer application on the Prairies. Experimental results suggest that placing fertilizer in compact bands, from 35 mm (1.5 in) below seed depth to twice seeding depth is desirable for fall fertilizer application. This required the use of chisel points to obtain suf cient depth and minimize soil disturbance and special boots to minimize fertilizer spreading.

The Morris M-600 worked well for fertilizer banding at low application rates. Fertilizer granules were placed in a band about 25 mm (1.0 in) wide. Vertical fertilizer distribution generally ranged from chisel tip depth to 10 mm (0.4 in) above chisel tip depth. Wider fertilizer bands were obtained in lumpy soil conditions and as the chisel points became worn.

The fan supplied on the Morris M-600 did not supply adequate air to allow the front metering system to be set for the maximum

rate while distributing 11-51-00 fertilizer. The air supply, at a rated fan speed of 4600 rpm, was adequate to apply 172 kg/ha (153 lb/ac) with the 9.5 m (31 ft) cultivator at 9 km/h (5.5 mph). At higher application rates, plugging of the distribution system occurred. Since fertilizer banding rates in excess of 172 kg/ha (153 lb/ac) are commonly used on the prairies, it is recommended that a higher output fan be provided.

A larger, alternate fan was supplied by the manufacturer after the eld evaluation had been completed. With this fan mounted on the Morris M-600 air ow seeder, fertilizer rates up to 263 kg/ha (234 lb/ac) were possible at 9 km/h (5.5 mph). Banding suitability at 9 km/h (5.5 mph) was reduced for application rates greater than 160 kg/ha (142 lb/ac) due to unacceptable distribution uniformity (FIGURE 9) at higher rates. Higher application rates with suitable distribution uniformity could be obtained by reducing forward speed. For example, the application rate could be increased to about 194 kg/ha (172 lb/ac) at 8 km/h (5 mph).

A tank divider door could be removed to allow fertilizer to be metered from both tanks through the front meter.

The Morris M-600 tanks and metering system were sealed against moisture entry. This eliminated any fertilizer caking problems. All unprotected metal surfaces should be cleaned and oiled periodically when applying fertilizer, to prevent corrosion.



FIGURE 14. Morris Banding Boot: (A) Cultivator Shank, (B) Banding Boot, (C) Chisel Point.

#### EASE OF OPERATION

**Dual Purpose Operation:** The Morris M-600 could be detached from the cultivator by two men in about one-hour. The procedure included the removal of the primary distribution tubes, secondary headers and secondary hoses from the cultivator. This allowed the cultivator to be used as a dual purpose machine, both for seeding and seasonal tillage.

**Hitching:** The Morris M-600 was easily hitched to a tractor. Hitching convenience was increased by the fact that the hitch link remained horizontal when unhitched from the tractor. Hitching also required hook-up of four hydraulic lines with quick couplers and an electrical connector for the electric meter shut-off.

Filling: A drill II or grain auger was needed to conveniently II the applicator tanks. Because the IIer openings were located only 1.6 m (5.2 ft) above the ground, hand Iling was also possible. The large 600 x 300 mm ( $24 \times 12$  in) IIer openings gave ample room for auger Iling. The IIer lids were mounted on hinges, which were easily lifted and were latched with a simple over centre lock. Closing the lids with the tanks full was dif cult because the lid guides had to be forced down into the material in the tanks in order to latch the lids. The lids were equipped with weather stripping for an airtight and moisture tight seal.

The front and rear tanks each held 1339 L (37 bu) for a total capacity of 2678 L (74 bu).

**Visibility:** Visibility of the cultivator was unobstructed by the low pro le applicator. This was considered a desirable feature of the Morris M-600.

Maneuverability: Because of the additional pivot point at the hitch between the applicator and the cultivator, the Morris M-600,

when attached to the cultivator, was dif cult to manoeuvre while backing up.

**Monitoring:** The test machine was not supplied with a material ow monitoring system. An indicator, visible from the tractor cab, moved up and down on an eccentric drive to indicate the front meter was operating. Material ow through the distribution tubes was not monitored. Because plugging of the distribution system was dif cult to detect from the tractor seat, it is recommended that a ow monitoring system be made available as optional equipment.

Seed and Fertilizer Boots: Two seed boots plugged with wet soil while seeding around a low lying area. No fertilizer boot plugging problems were encountered while banding fertilizer.

**Cleaning:** Access to the discharge side of the pegged metering rollers was possible with full tanks, by removing two access doors behind the meters. Removal of these doors required the removal of 12 wing nuts.

Each tank was equipped with a cleanout door on the right hand end of both tanks. Collector placement below these doors was dif cult due to interference with the applicator wheel and the frame. Use of these end cleanout doors was inconvenient since all material in the tanks had to be moved to the right hand side. A vacuum cleaner was required for thorough cleaning of both tanks. Access to the tanks was possible through the ller openings.

Area Meter: The Morris M-600 was equipped with a mechanical area meter. Calibrations for various machine widths were given in the operator's manual for area readings displayed in acres. The operator's manual calibration, when used with the 9.5 m (31 ft) cultivator, gave readings about 9% low. A calibration check procedure was also outlined in the operator's manual and the necessary adjustments were easily made to obtain accurate area measurement. It is recommended that the manufacturer supply the meter calibration setting for area readout in SI units.

**Transporting:** A distinct advantage of cultivator mounted pneumatic seeders over conventional drills, is the ease with which relatively wide machines can be transported. The Morris applicatorcultivator assembly was easily placed in transport position (FIGURE 15) in less than ve minutes. Two hydraulic cylinders raised the cultivator wings to the upright position. The metering system was conveniently engaged and disengaged with an electrically operated lockout, controlled from the tractor seat. For long distance travel or travel at high speeds, the meter drive chain should be removed. This procedure was somewhat inconvenient due to poor access to the drive chain behind the meter drive chain safety shield. For short transport distances at slow speeds, the chain could be left on and the electrically controlled meter drive clutch disengaged.

The assembly towed well in transport position. Overall transport height and width were 3.9 m (12.8 ft) and 5.9 m (19.4 ft) respectively, requiring care when transporting on public roads.



FIGURE 15. Transport Position.

#### EASE OF ADJUSTMENT

Lubrication: Six ttings on the applicator and 39 on the cultivator required servicing. Two wheels on the applicator and six on the cultivator required servicing. A servicing schedule was supplied in the operator's manual. Two ttings on the seed and fertilizer shaft were not supplied and access to them was inconvenient due to the meter drive shield. It is recommended that seed and fertilizer shaft tting access be improved.

Application Rate: Application rate was changed by adjusting the variable speed drive for seed and fertilizer as shown in FIGURE 16. The meter scale was adjustable from 0 to 100 in increments of one. The calibration charts in the operator's manual were not Page 7 accurate for wheat and barley and no calibration was included for rapeseed. Improved calibrations for wheat and barley and a calibration for rapeseed, in both pounds per acre and kilograms per hectare, were supplied by the manufacturer at the end of the test.

The small scale divisions allowed relatively precise seeding rate adjustment. For example, in Tower rapeseed, each scale division changed seeding rate by only 1.8 kg/ha (1.6 lb/ac).



FIGURE 16. Application Rate Adjustment.

Depth Adjustment: Seeding depth was conveniently adjusted with the left wing master cylinder connected in series to the mainframe and right wing cylinders in a master-slave arrangement. An adjustable sleeve on the left wing depth cylinder could be used, without tools, to set maximum depth. As is common with series hydraulic systems, to maintain the centre and wing frames at the same height, periodic synchronization of the cylinders, by completely extending them to the fully raised position, was necessary. The Morris cultivator hitch was conveniently levelled with the hand operated turnbuckle provided. This adjustment could be made without tools and provided accurate fore-and-aft levelling in all conditions encountered. Since changing eld conditions require frequent hitch height adjustments to maintain uniform seeding depth, this adjustment on the Morris M-600 was considered a desirable feature. Cultivator wing and mainframe lateral levelling was accomplished, using a wrench, by turning two threaded adjustment rods on each side of the mainframe and one on each wing frame.

#### RATE OF WORK

The Morris M-600 was operated at speeds of 5 to 10 km/h (3 to 6 mph). Overall best performance, in terms of weed kill and seed placement, was obtained at speeds of 8 to 10 km/h (5 to 6 mph), resulting in eld work rates for the 9.5 m (31 ft) unit, ranging from 7.6 to 9.5 ha/hr (19 to 24 ac/hr). Using both tanks when seeding wheat at a rate of 85 kg/ha (75 lb/ac), about 24 ha (58 ac) could be seeded before re Iling. Using only the rear tank, about 12 ha (30 ac) could be seeded before re Iling. This compares to 13 to 22 ha (30 to 55 ac) between re Ils for most conventional drills of similar widths.

#### POWER REQUIREMENTS

**Fan:** The power requirement for the Morris M-600 fan, operating at the recommended power take-off speed of 1000 rpm and fan speed of 4600 rpm, was 4.3 kW (5.8 hp).

**Draft Characteristics:** Attempting to compare draft requirements of different makes of heavy duty cultivators usually is unrealistic. Draft requirements for the same cultivator, in the same eld, may vary by as much as 30% in two different years, due to changes in soil conditions. Variation in soil conditions affect draft much more than variation in machine make, usually making it impossible to measure any signi cant draft difference between makes of heavy duty cultivators. The power requirements given in TABLES 2 and 3 are based on average draft requirements of 15 makes of heavy duty cultivators in 56 different eld conditions. Additional draft due to the applicator with full tanks and the mounted harrows has been included.

**Tractor Size:** TABLES 2 and 3 show tractor sizes needed to operate the Morris M-600 applicator, with the 9.5 (31 ft) CP-731 heavy duty cultivator, in light and heavy primary tillage. Tractor sizes have been adjusted to include tractive ef ciency and represent a Page 8

tractor operating at 80% of maximum power on a level eld. The sizes presented in the tables are the maximum power take-off rating as determined by Nebraska tests or as presented by the tractor manufacturer. Selected tractor sizes will have ample power reserve to operate in the stated conditions.

Tractor size may be determined by selecting the desired tillage depth and speed from the appropriate table. For example, in light primary tillage at 75 mm (3 in) depth and 8 km/h (5 mph), a 95 kW (128 hp) tractor is required to operate the seeding unit. In heavy tillage at the same depth and speed a 115 kW (154 hp) tractor is needed. Power tests with cultivators equipped with chisel points indicated that tractors suited for seeding in heavy primary tillage conditions will have ample power for banding fertilizer at depths up to 50 mm (2 in) greater than seeding depth.

DEPTH SPEED (km/h) (mm) 7 8 9 10 11 12 50 58 70 82 96 109 123 95 126 75 80 110 142 160 100 101 119 137 176 197 156 125 123 144 165 188 210 234

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{TABLE 2.} Tractor Size (Maximum Power Take-off Rating, kW) to Operate the Morris M-600 \\ Applicator, with 9.5 \mbox{ m CP-731 Cultivator in Light Primary Tillage.} \end{array}$ 

| TABLE 3. Tractor Size (Maximum Power Take-off Rating, kW) to Operate the Morris M-600 |
|---|
| Applicator, with 9.5 m CP-731 Cultivator in Heavy Primary Tillage.                    |

| DEPTH                  |                        |                         | SPEED                   | (km/h)                  |                          |                          |
|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| (mm)                   | 7                      | 8                       | 9                       | 10                      | 11                       | 12                       |
| 50<br>75<br>100<br>125 | 56<br>98<br>142<br>185 | 65<br>115<br>164<br>214 | 77<br>133<br>188<br>244 | 89<br>151<br>212<br>274 | 100<br>169<br>237<br>305 | 115<br>189<br>263<br>337 |

#### **OPERATOR SAFETY**

The Morris M-600 tank access ladder was convenient and safe. A safety handrail was provided at the top of the ladder to gain access to the ller openings.

Extreme caution is needed in transporting most folding cultivators to avoid contacting power lines. Minimum power line heights vary in the three prairie provinces. In Saskatchewan, the energized line may be as low as 5.2 m (17 ft) over farm land or over secondary roads. In Alberta and Manitoba, the neutral ground wire may be as low as 4.8 m (15.7 ft) over farm land. In all three provinces, feeder lines in farmyards may be as low as 4.6 m (15 ft).

The Morris M-600 applicator with Morris CP-731 9.5 m (31 ft) cultivator was 3.9 m (12.2 ft) high in transport position, permitting safe transport under prairie power lines. However, caution should be observed if larger cultivators are used with the Morris M-600. The legal responsibility for safe passage under utility lines rests with the machinery operator and not with the power utility or machinery manufacturer. All provinces have regulations governing maximum permissible equipment heights on various public roads. If height limits are exceeded, the operator must contact power and telephone utilities before moving.

The Morris M-600 with CP-731 cultivator was 6 m (19.4 ft) wide in transport position. This necessitated caution when towing on public roads, over bridges and through gates.

No slow moving vehicle sign was provided. It is recommended that a slow moving vehicle sign be provided as standard equipment.

Pins were provided to lock both the depth control cylinder and the wings in transport position. The Morris M-600 applicator with the CP-731 cultivator towed well at speeds up to 28 km/h (17 mph).

#### **OPERATOR'S MANUAL**

The operator's manual supplied with the Morris M-600 air ow seeder contained useful information on safety adjustments, assembly, speci cations, maintenance and operation. A detailed parts list was also included in the operator's manual. The calibration charts in the operator's manual did not include a calibration for rapeseed or an accurate calibration for wheat or barley. A more accurate meter calibration chart for both Imperial and metric units was received from the manufacturer. It is recommended that the manufacturer include this new meter calibration chart in the operator's manual. A meter calibration chart printed on a decal which could be placed directly on the applicator would also be convenient for quick eld reference.

#### DURABILITY RESULTS

TABLE 4 outlines the mechanical history of the Morris M-600 with Morris CP-731 heavy duty cultivator during 118 hours of eld operation while processing about 615 ha (1520 ac). The intent of the test was evaluation of functional performance. An extended durability evaluation was not conducted.

#### TABLE 4. Mechanical History.

| ITEM   | OPERATING<br>HOURS               | EQUIVALENT<br>FIELD AREA<br>(ha)        |
|--|----------------------------------|---|
| ASSEMBLY<br>-Three brackets were fabricated for supporting the primary<br>distribution tubes at<br>-The tank seals were repositioned for an air-tight seal at  | beginni                          | ng of test                              |
| APPLICATOR<br>-The fertilizer meter drive overload mechanism was replaced at<br>-Manufacturer's changeovers were made at<br>-The tank lid hold-down pins were repositioned to maintain<br>placement in holders | beginniı<br>beginniı<br>througho | ng of test<br>ng of test<br>ut the test |
| -The shear pin on the seed metering drive broke and was replaced at<br>-The rubber cushions in secondary beaders were dured to the   | 40, 110                          | 210, 575                                |
| header caps at<br>-The meter drive clutch grease fitting was replaced with a 45  | 45                               | 235                                     |
| degree fitting for improved access at  | 60                               | 315                                     |
| -The tank partition brackets inside the tanks were rewelded at   | 65                               | 340                                     |
| -The fertilizer meter drive chain broke and was repaired at<br>-A seed boot tube was damaged and a hose end was pulled off   | 65                               | 340                                     |
| by a large rock Both were repaired at<br>-The tank partition divider door was removed and refitted to  | 75                               | 390                                     |
| prevent leakage between the tanks at<br>-The fertilizer meter variable drive box chain fell off and was  | 98                               | 510                                     |
| replaced at<br>-Manufacturer's modifications were made at end of test -A hitch   | 105                              | 545                                     |
| bolt was replaced at   | 115                              | 600                                     |
| CULTIVATOR   | OE                               | 445                                     |
| -The left wing depth adjusting nut was lost and replaced at<br>-All sweeps were replaced at 87 453 -Additional adjustment  | 85                               | 445                                     |
| holes were drilled in the harrow angle adjustment links at   | 100                              | 520                                     |
| -Chisel points and banding boots were installed at   | 106                              | 550                                     |

#### DISCUSSION OF MECHANICAL PROBLEMS ASSEMBLY

**Support Brackets:** Brackets were fabricated to support the primary distribution tubes on the cultivator and to prevent tube interference with the cultivator wheels (FIGURE 17) at the beginning of the test. It is recommended that some means of supporting the primary distribution tubes on the cultivator be supplied as standard equipment.

**Tank Seals:** The seals of both tank lids interfered with the tank cover screen edge, allowing air to leak from the pressurized tanks. The seals were repositioned to provide for an air tight tank.

#### APPLICATOR

**Fertilizer Overload:** The fertilizer meter drive overload mechanism mount was incorrectly manufactured, causing the drive to wobble while operating. This alternately tightened and loosened the drive chain as the meter rotated. The overload mechanism was replaced.

**Tank Lid Pins:** The tank lids were held down by swivel brackets with small rollers which locked under holders on the tanks. The rollers were held in the brackets by roll pins. These roll pins worked out of place frequently and were replaced by bolts.

Meter Drive Chains: The single bolt securing the meter drive chain idler sprocket was inadequate to keep the chains tight. Even with the bolts tight, the tensioner link swivelled around the retaining bolts. It is recommended that the manufacturer consider modi cations to the chain tighteners to allow proper chain tension to be maintained.

Harrow Adjustment Links: Holes were drilled in the harrow adjustment links to allow two additional increments of harrow tine angle. These additional adjustment positions were required to allow heavy loose trash to clear the harrows while still maintaining some harrow action.



FIGURE 17. Fabricated Support Brackets.

**Manufacturer's Changeovers:** Manufacturer's changeovers performed during and after the evaluation period included meter drive modi cations, fan gear box modi cation, tank lid hold-down roll pin changes and a new power take-off shaft.

#### APPENDIX I SPECIFICATIONS (A) AIR FLOW SEEDER MAKE: Morris Air Flow Seeder MODEL: M-600 SERIAL NUMBER: MANUFACTURER: 80631 Morris Rod Weeder Co Ltd 85 York Road Yorkton, Saskatchewan S3N 2X2 DIMENSIONS: 3570 mm -width 3790 mm -lenath 2300 mm -height -maximum ground clearance 250 mm -wheel tread 3250 mm METERING SYSTEM: pegged rollers (pressurized tanks) -type -number of meters -drive chain drive from applicator wheel -adjustment variable speed drive box -transfer to openers pneumatic conveyance through divider headers and plastic tubes -shut off electrically operated clutch TANK CAPACITIES: 1339 L (37 bu) -front 1339 L (37 bu) -rear Total 2678 L (74 bu) FAN: straight blade centrifugal -type -maximum operating speed 5000 rpm power take off -drive WHEEL: 1200 x 24, 6 plv -single wheels NUMBER OF LUBRICATION POINTS: 6 grease fittings 2 wheel bearings HITCH: -vertical adjustment range -applicator none -cultivator none (B) CULTIVATOR MAKE: Morris MODEL Magnum CP 731 SERIAL NUMBER: SHANKS: 81-81149 -number 31 305 mm -lateral spacing -trash clearance(sweep to frame) 610 mm -number of shank rows 3 -distance between rows -extension to front 810 mm 865 and 810 mm -front to middle 755 and 810 mm -rear to middle -shank cross section 25 x 50 mm -shank stem angle 47 degrees -sweep hole spacing 57 mm -sweep bolt size 11 mm HITCH: -vertical adjustment range full range of adjustment with turnbuckle DEPTH CONTROL: hydraulic FRAME: -cross section 100 x 100 mm -thickness 6.4 mm

TIRES:

ALBERTA

FARM MACHINERY RESEARCH CENTRE 3000 College Drive South Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada T1K 1L6

Telephone: (403) 329-1212 FAX: (403) 329-5562 http://www.agric.gov.ab.ca/navigation/engineering/ afmrc/index.html

## Prairie Agricultural Machinery Institute

Head Office: P.O. Box 1900, Humboldt, Saskatchewan, Canada S0K 2A0 Telephone: (306) 682-2555

Test Stations: P.O. Box 1060

P.O. Box 1150 Telephone: (306) 682-5033 Fax: (306) 682-5080

Portage la Prairie, Manitoba, Canada R1N 3C5 Humboldt, Saskatchewan, Canada SOK 2A0 Telephone: (204) 239-5445 Fax: (204) 239-7124

| DIMENSIONO  |   | TRANSPORT ROOTION   |
|---|---|---|
| DIMENSIONS:   | <u>PIELD POSITION</u>   | <u>FRANSPORT POSITION</u>   |
| -width  | 10 000 mm   | 10,000 mm   |
| -length   | 2200 mm   | 3850 mm   |
| -neight   | 2300 mm   | 120 mm  |
| -maximum ground clearance   | 130 mm  | 2200 mm   |
| -wheel tread  | 0050 11111  | 5200 mm   |
| WEIGHTS:  | TANKS EMPTY   | TANKS FULL OF WHE   |
| APPLICATOR  |   |   |
| -hitch  | 350 kg  | 750 kg  |
| -left wheel   | 700 kg  | 1540 kg   |
| -right wheel  | 630 kg  | 1480 kg   |
| CULTIVATOR (with attached harrow  | ws)FIELD POSITION   | TRANSPORT POSITI  |
| -left mainframe wheels  | 1300 kg   | 1810 kg   |
| -right mainframe wheels   | 1300 kg   | 1810 kg   |
| -left wing wheel  | 510 kg  | -   |
| -right wing wheel   | 510 kg  |   |
| Total, Tanks Empty  | 5300 kg   |   |
|   |   |   |
| Total, Tanks Full of Wheat  | 7390 kg   |   |
| Total, Tanks Full of Wheat MACHINE RATINGS  | 7390 kg   |   |
| Total, Tanks Full of Wheat<br>MACHINE RATINGS<br>The following rating scale is used ir  | 7390 kg APPENDIX II PAMI Evaluation Rep   | ports:  |
| Total, Tanks Full of Wheat<br>MACHINE RATINGS<br>The following rating scale is used ir<br>(a) excellent   | 7390 kg<br>APPENDIX II<br>n PAMI Evaluation Reg<br>(d) fair   | ports:  |
| Total, Tanks Full of Wheat<br>MACHINE RATINGS<br>The following rating scale is used ir<br>(a) excellent<br>(b) very good  | 7390 kg<br>APPENDIX II<br>n PAMI Evaluation Rep<br>(d) fair<br>(e) poor   | ports:  |
| Total, Tanks Full of Wheat<br>MACHINE RATINGS<br>The following rating scale is used ir<br>(a) excellent<br>(b) very good<br>(c) good  | 7390 kg<br>APPENDIX II<br>n PAMI Evaluation Rep<br>(d) fair<br>(e) poor<br>(f) unsatisfac   | ports:  |
| Total, Tanks Full of Wheat<br>MACHINE RATINGS<br>The following rating scale is used ir<br>(a) excellent<br>(b) very good<br>(c) good  | 7390 kg<br>APPENDIX II<br>n PAMI Evaluation Rep<br>(d) fair<br>(e) poor<br>(f) unsatisfac   | ports:<br>tory  |
| Total, Tanks Full of Wheat<br>MACHINE RATINGS<br>The following rating scale is used ir<br>(a) excellent<br>(b) very good<br>(c) good<br>CONVERSION TABLE  | 7390 kg<br>APPENDIX II<br>n PAMI Evaluation Rep<br>(d) fair<br>(e) poor<br>(f) unsatisfac<br>APPENDIX III   | oorts:<br>tory  |
| Total, Tanks Full of Wheat<br>MACHINE RATINGS<br>The following rating scale is used ir<br>(a) excellent<br>(b) very good<br>(c) good<br>CONVERSION TABLE<br>1 hectare (ha)  | 7390 kg<br>APPENDIX II<br>n PAMI Evaluation Rep<br>(d) fair<br>(e) poor<br>(f) unsatisfac<br>APPENDIX III<br>= 2.5 acres (  | ports:<br>tory  |
| Total, Tanks Full of Wheat<br>MACHINE RATINGS<br>The following rating scale is used ir<br>(a) excellent<br>(b) very good<br>(c) good<br>CONVERSION TABLE<br>1 hectare (ha)<br>kilometre/hour (km/h)   | 7390 kg APPENDIX II PAMI Evaluation Rep (d) fair (e) poor (f) unsatisfac APPENDIX III = 2.5 acres ( = 0.6 miles/h   | ac)   |
| Total, Tanks Full of Wheat<br>MACHINE RATINGS<br>The following rating scale is used ir<br>(a) excellent<br>(b) very good<br>(c) good<br>CONVERSION TABLE<br>1 hectare (ha)<br>1 kilometre/hour (km/h)<br>1 meter (m)  | 7390 kg<br>APPENDIX II<br>PAMI Evaluation Rep<br>(d) fair<br>(e) poor<br>(f) unsatisfac<br>APPENDIX III<br>= 2.5 acres (i<br>= 0.6 miles/h<br>= 3.3 feet (fi)   | oorts:<br>tory<br>ac)<br>our (mph)  |
| Total, Tanks Full of Wheat<br>MACHINE RATINGS<br>The following rating scale is used ir<br>(a) excellent<br>(b) very good<br>(c) good<br>CONVERSION TABLE<br>1 hectare (ha)<br>1 kilometre/hour (km/h)<br>1 miler (m)<br>1 milerere (mn)   | 7390 kg<br>APPENDIX II<br>PAMI Evaluation Rep (d) fair (e) poor (f) unsatisfac<br>APPENDIX III<br>= 2.5 acres (<br>= 0.6 miles/h<br>= 3.3 feet (ft)<br>= 0.0 di inches  | ac)<br>our (mph)  |
| Total, Tanks Full of Wheat<br>MACHINE RATINGS<br>The following rating scale is used ir<br>(a) excellent<br>(b) very good<br>(c) good<br>CONVERSION TABLE<br>1 hectare (ha)<br>1 kilometre/hour (km/h)<br>1 meter (m)<br>1 kilometre (km)<br>1 kilometre (km)  | 7390 kg<br>APPENDIX II<br>PAMI Evaluation Ref (d) fair (e) poor (f) unsatisfac<br>(f) unsatisfac<br>APPENDIX III<br>= 2.5 acres (<br>= 0.6 miles/h<br>= 3.3 feet (ft)<br>= 0.04 inches<br>= 1.3 horsen  | ac)<br>our (mph)<br>s (in)  |
| Total, Tanks Full of Wheat<br>MACHINE RATINGS<br>The following rating scale is used ir<br>(a) excellent<br>(b) very good<br>(c) good<br>CONVERSION TABLE<br>1 hectare (ha)<br>1 kilometre/hour (km/h)<br>1 meter (m)<br>1 millimetre (mm)<br>1 kilowatt (kW)<br>1 kilowara (km)   | 7390 kg<br>APPENDIX II<br>PAMI Evaluation Reg (d) fair (e) poor (f) unsatisfac<br>APPENDIX III<br>= 2.5 acres (<br>= 0.6 miles/h<br>= 3.5 feet (f)<br>= 0.04 inches<br>= 1.3 horsep<br>= 2.2 pounde   | ac)<br>our (mph)<br>s (in)<br>wer (hp)<br>mass (ib)   |
| Total, Tanks Full of Wheat<br>MACHINE RATINGS<br>The following rating scale is used ir<br>(a) excellent<br>(b) very good<br>(c) good<br>CONVERSION TABLE<br>1 hectare (ha)<br>1 kilometre/hour (km/h)<br>1 meter (m)<br>1 kilowatt (kW)<br>1 kilogram (kg)<br>1 newton (N)  | 7390 kg<br>APPENDIX II<br>PAMI Evaluation Rep (d) fair (e) poor (f) unsatisfac<br>APPENDIX III<br>= 2.5 acres (i<br>= 0.6 miles/h<br>= 3.3 feet (ft)<br>= 0.4 inches<br>= 1.3 horsep<br>= 2.2 pounds<br>= 0.2 pound | ac)<br>our (mph)<br>s (in)<br>ower (hp)<br>mass (lb)<br>ls force (lb)   |
| Total, Tanks Full of Wheat<br>MACHINE RATINGS<br>The following rating scale is used ir<br>(a) excellent<br>(b) very good<br>(c) good<br>CONVERSION TABLE<br>1 hectare (ha)<br>1 kilometre/hour (km/h)<br>1 meter (m)<br>1 millimetre (mm)<br>1 kilowatt (kW)<br>1 kilogram (kg)<br>1 newton (N)<br>1 litre (1)  | 7390 kg<br>APPENDIX II<br>PAMI Evaluation Rep (d) fair (e) poor (f) unsatisfac<br>APPENDIX III<br>= 2.5 acres (<br>= 0.6 miles/h<br>= 3.3 feet (ft)<br>= 0.04 inches<br>= 1.3 horsep<br>= 2.2 pounds<br>= 0.22 pounds<br>= 0.03 burshes<br>= 0.               | ac)<br>our (mph)<br>s (in)<br>mass (lb)<br>s force (lb)<br>ls (bu)  |
| Total, Tanks Full of Wheat  MACHINE RATINGS  The following rating scale is used ir (a) excellent (b) very good (c) good  CONVERSION TABLE  1 hectare (ha) 1 kilometre/hour (km/h) 1 miltimetre (mm) 1 miltimetre (mm) 1 kilogram (kg) 1 newton (N) 1 litire (L) 1 kilogram/hectare (kg/ha)  | 7390 kg<br>APPENDIX II<br>PAMI Evaluation Rep (d) fair (e) poor (f) unsatisfac<br>APPENDIX III<br>= 2.5 acres (<br>= 0.6 miles/h<br>= 3.3 feet (f)<br>= 0.04 inches<br>= 1.3 horsep<br>= 2.2 pound<br>= 0.22 pound<br>= 0.03 bushe<br>= 0.9 pound   | ac)<br>our (mph)<br>s (in)<br>bower (hp)<br>mass (lb)<br>Is force (lb)<br>Is force (lb)<br>Is (bu)<br>/acre (lb/ac) |
| Total, Tanks Full of Wheat<br>MACHINE RATINGS<br>The following rating scale is used ir<br>(a) excellent<br>(b) very good<br>(c) good<br>CONVERSION TABLE<br>1 hectare (ha)<br>1 kilometre/hour (km/h)<br>1 meter (m)<br>1 millimetre (mm)<br>1 kilogram (kg)<br>1 newton (N)<br>1 litre (L)<br>1 kilogram/hectare (kg/ha)<br>1 kilogram/hectare (kg/ha) | 7390 kg<br>APPENDIX II<br>PAMI Evaluation Rep (d) fair (e) poor (f) unsatisfac<br>APPENDIX III<br>= 2.5 acres (i<br>= 0.6 miles/h<br>= 3.3 feet (ft)<br>= 0.04 inches<br>= 1.3 horsep<br>= 2.2 pounds<br>= 0.22 pounds<br>= 0.9 pounds<br>= 0.8 pou | ac)<br>our (mph)<br>s (in)<br>wer (hp)<br>mass (lb)<br>is force (lb)<br>Is (bu)<br>/acre (lb/ac)<br>/bushel (lb/bu) |

NUMBER OF LUBRICATION POINTS:

-frame mounted 3 row spring tine harrows

-4 width options ranging from 9.5 to 11.3 m

HYDRAULIC CYLINDERS:

OPTIONAL EQUIPMENT:

-depth control

-wing lift

39 grease fittings

6 wheel bearings

4.89 x 305 mm

2, 89 x 610 mm

(C) OVERALL SPECIFICATIONS FOR APPLICATOR-CULTIVATOR ASSEMBLY

6, 95L x 15, 6 ply implement

## This report is published under the authority of the minister of Agriculture for the Provinces of Alberta, Saskatchewan and Manitoba and may not be reproduced in whole or in part without the prior approval of the Alberta Farm Machinery Research Centre or The Prairie Agricultural Machinery Institute.