

# Evaluation Report

# 15



**Haybuster Model H-1000 Tub Grinder**

A Co-operative Program Between



# HAYBUSTER MODEL H-1000 TUB GRINDER

## MANUFACTURER:

Haybuster Manufacturing Inc.  
Box 1008  
Jamestown, North Dakota 58401

## DISTRIBUTOR:

Agrifuture Equipment Ltd.  
Lloydminster, Saskatchewan  
S9V 1C1

## RETAIL PRICE:

\$10,440.00 (December 1, 1977, f.o.b. Humboldt, with 51 mm (2 in) and 76 mm (3 in) screens.)



FIGURE 1. Haybuster H-1000 Tub Grinder.

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Overall functional performance of the Haybuster H-1000 was very good in both baled and stacked hay and straw. Ease of operation was very good.

Maximum grinding rates with a 51 mm (2 in) screen were about 13 t/h (14.3 ton/h) in baled alfalfa, 16 t/h (17.6 ton/h) in stacked alfalfa, 10 t/h (11 ton/h) in stacked barley straw and 18.6 t/h (20.5 ton/h) in baled barley straw. Maximum grinding rates with a 25 mm (1 in) screen were about one-half as large as those with a 51 mm (2 in) screen. With most tractors, grinding rates were usually limited by tractor power rather than by feeding characteristics.

As with most tub grinders, power consumption was high and specific capacity was low. Specific capacity varied from 0.27 t/kW•h (0.22 ton/hp•h) in stacked alfalfa hay to 0.20 t/kW•h (0.16 ton/hp•h) in round barley straw bales, when using a 2 in screen. Specific capacities were reduced by about 50% when using a 1 in screen.

As with most tub grinders, the method of feeding the hammer mill imposed heavy shock loads on the power train and resulted in wide power fluctuations. For example, at the maximum feedrate of 18.6 t/h (20.5 ton/h), with a 51 mm (2 in) screen in round barley straw bales, the average power input was 94 kW (126 hp), however, a tractor with a maximum power take-off output of at least 160 kW (214 hp) was needed to prevent tractor stalling due to the wide power fluctuations. By adjusting the tub governor, smaller tractors could be used at reduced grinding rates.

The Haybuster H-1000 had several potential safety hazards. No conveyor safety support straps were provided for transport and the conveyor winch was potentially dangerous as it did not use a friction drag clutch. No ladder or inspection platform were provided for tub access or servicing and the tub support rollers were not shielded.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the manufacturer consider:

1. Installing a ladder or an inspection platform to improve tub

access for servicing.

2. Providing conveyor safety straps for transport.
3. Installing a friction drag winch on the conveyor.
4. Providing a suitable operator's manual.
5. Providing adjustable drive fins on the tub sidewall.
6. Providing shields for the tub support rollers.
7. Investigating the possibility of installing a suitable flywheel on the hammer mill to reduce drive train shock loads.

Chief Engineer -- E. O. Nyborg

Senior Engineer -- L. G. Smith

## THE MANUFACTURER STATES THAT

With regard to recommendation number:

1. No ladder or inspection platform will be installed due to hazards involved while grinder is running.
2. Conveyor safety straps will be provided on future production.
3. A friction drag winch will be provided on future production.
4. An operator's manual will be provided.
5. This recommendation is under consideration.
6. This recommendation is under consideration.
7. This has been investigated in the past and was not considered practical, due to high cost.

## GENERAL DESCRIPTION

The Haybuster Model H-1000 Tub Grinder (FIGURE 1) is a portable power take-off driven hammer mill with rotary feed tub, designed to grind loose, stacked or baled straw and hay.

The manufacturer recommends use with tractors up to 187 kW (250 hp) at 1000 rpm power take-off speed.

The Haybuster H-1000 is designed to be batch fed with a suitably equipped front end loader. The hydraulically driven, variable speed tub regulates feed to a belt driven hammer mill. A hydraulic governor automatically controls the tub speed and stops tub rotation when the tractor speed drops below a preset level.

Fineness of grind is determined by the size of screen used below the hammer mill. Ground material falls through the screen onto two chain-driven screw conveyors, which deliver it to an adjustable, slatted, rubber belt conveyor.

Detailed specifications are given in APPENDIX I.

## SCOPE OF TEST

The Haybuster H-1000 was operated for 27 hours while processing about 273 t (300 tons) of hay and straw. It was used to process small square bales, large round bales, and stacked hay.

It was evaluated for ease of operation, rate of work, power consumption, quality of work, operator safety and suitability of the operator's manual.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### EASE OF OPERATION

**Hitching:** The Haybuster H-1000 was easily hitched to a tractor. The hitch jack was safe and convenient to use. The 1000 rpm power take-off shaft was attached with a spring loaded pin.

**Tub Control:** The reversible, hydraulic tub drive was equipped with a proportioning valve to control the tub speed and consequently the feedrate. The valve had to be set to obtain steady tub rotation, while utilizing the available tractor power. The valve had to be adjusted to suit both the type of material being ground and the tractor size. It was quite easy to determine the proper setting by opening the valve until the tractor was suitably loaded.

The hydraulic governor reduced hammer mill slugging if the proportioning valve was properly set. For proper operation, the tractor power take-off had to be run at a no load speed of 1200 rpm. As engine speed dropped under load, the governor stopped tub rotation if power take-off speed fell below 1000 rpm. As with most tub grinders, slugging and high drive train loads occurred if excessive feedrates were attempted.

The positive chain drive to the tub from the hydraulic motor prevented slippage in wet conditions.

**Loading the Tub:** The tub (FIGURE 2) had straight sides with a

flare top and a rear guide rack to facilitate loading large round bales. Height to the top of the tub was 2580 mm (8.5 ft). When loading loose hay with grapple forks, hay often caught on the flare top if large loads were used. The most effective feeding was obtained by taking small loads, which would easily drop within the flare top.



FIGURE 2. Tub and Guide Rack.

Occasionally, a large round bale placed in the centre of the tub would not contact the tub fins and no grinding would occur. Loading a second bale on top would force the first bale against the tub wall and initiate grinding. It is recommended that an adjustable fin be placed on the side of the tub to correct this problem.

**Screen Removal:** Eight screen sizes from 6 to 76 mm (0.25 to 3 in) were available for the Haybuster H-1000. Changing the screens (FIGURE 3) required the removal of two bolts and the use of a bar to pry the screens up and around the mill. Screens could be removed and replaced by one man in about 10 minutes.



FIGURE 3. Hammer Mill and Screen.

**Hammer Mill:** The hammer mill contained eight rows of swinging hammers, with eight hammers per row. When worn, the hammers could be reversed or rotated end-for-end to present new wear surfaces. This could be accomplished from within the tub by removing each pivot shaft. Reversing or rotating a complete set of hammers took two men about two hours.

**Discharge Chamber:** The hammer mill discharged ground material into two belly pan augers (FIGURE 4) beneath the screen. The augers effectively removed the ground material and delivered it to the elevating conveyor. Auger blockage never occurred. Doors on each side of the belly pan gave access to the screen and permitted screen unplugging if hammer blockage occurred.

**Elevating Conveyor:** The slatted belt conveyor had ample conveying capacity at lift angles up to 25°. In most materials, at lift angles greater than 25°, the material slipped and tumbled on the belt. At a 25° angle, the conveyor had a discharge height of 3048 mm (10 ft) and a corresponding reach of 5300 mm (17.4 ft).

The conveyor was equipped with self-cleaning pulleys, which effectively reduced the buildup of fines between the conveyor belt and the belt trough. The conveyor sides reduced losses of fines in

moderate winds.



FIGURE 4. Belly Pan Auger.

Two possible safety hazards existed with the conveyor: No safety straps were provided for supporting the conveyor while transporting. This imposed severe shock loads on the winch and lifting cable, especially when transporting on rough roads. Although conveyor height was easy to adjust, the lift winch had an over-centre ratchet lock and would free wheel if accidentally released when lowering the conveyor. It is recommended that a friction drag winch and transport safety straps both be installed to correct these potential safety hazards.

**Winter Operation:** All evaluation was conducted in winter conditions, typical of most tub grinder use in the prairie provinces. All components, including the hydraulic tub control, worked well, even at temperatures of -30° C.

During winter operation, accumulated snow should be removed from the tub and rotating parts checked for ice accumulation before starting. It is also recommended to start the grinder with the tub control in neutral position.

As is common with all tub grinders, excessive snow mixed with ground hay can result in heating problems. If ground hay is to be stockpiled, the moisture content must be low enough to ensure that the stockpile will not heat and spoil.

**Transporting:** The Haybuster H-1000 had a fixed single axle with no spring suspension. As a result, it was not suited for high speed transport. Due to the large overhang of the conveyor behind the rear wheels and the lack of a conveyor transport safety lock, extreme care had to be exercised in turning corners and on rough roads.

#### RATE OF WORK

**Maximum Grinding Rate:** The maximum grinding rate for a tub grinder depends on the type of hay being ground, whether the hay is baled or loose, its moisture content and temperature, the screen size used, and the available tractor power. In general, grinding rates are higher at very low temperatures as hay becomes more brittle at reduced temperatures.

Maximum grinding rates obtained with the Haybuster H-1000, when equipped with a 51 mm (2 in) screen were 13 t/h (14.3 ton/h) in baled alfalfa, 16 t/h (17.6 ton/h) in stacked alfalfa, 10 t/h (11 ton/h) in stacked barley straw and 18.6 t/h (20.5 ton/h) in baled barley straw. In general, capacity was directly related to the screen size used and reducing the screen size by 50% also reduced the capacity by about 50%. For example, the maximum capacities to be expected when using a 25 mm (1 in) screen would be about 6.5 to 8 t/h (7.2 to 8.8 ton/h) in alfalfa and from 5 to 9.3 t/h (5.5 to 10.3 ton/h) in barley straw.

#### POWER CONSUMPTION

**Power Take-Off Requirements:** FIGURE 5 shows the average power take-off input for the Haybuster H-1000 in alfalfa and barley straw. The power input is plotted against grinding rate up to the maximum rate reached for each test. The average power input, at maximum grinding rate, with a 51 mm (2 in) screen varied from 54 kW (72 hp) in stacked alfalfa hay to 94 kW (126 hp) in round barley straw bales.

The power consumption at reduced grinding rates, corresponding to smaller tractors, may be read from FIGURE 5. As mentioned previously, capacity was directly related to screen size for a certain power input. For example, a power input of 94 kW (126 hp) in round barley straw bales corresponds to a maximum capacity of 18.6 t/h (20.5 ton/h) with a 51 mm (2 in) screen and a maximum capacity of only 9.3 t/h (10.3 ton/h) with a 25 mm (1 in) screen.




FIGURE 5. Power Consumption of the Haybuster H-1000, at Various Grinding Rates, when Equipped with a 51 mm (2 in) Screen.

**Specific Capacity:** Specific capacity is a measure of how efficiently a machine performs a task. A high specific capacity indicates efficient energy use while a low specific capacity indicates inefficient operation. Tub grinders, in general, are inefficient machines.

The specific capacity of the Haybuster H-1000, with a 51 mm (2 in) screen, varied from 0.27 t/kW•h (0.22 ton/hp•h) in stacked alfalfa hay to 0.20 t/kW•h (0.16 ton/hp•h) in round barley straw bales. These values represent average operating values and not peak outputs. These values would be reduced to about 0.14 t/kW•h (0.11 ton/hp•h) in alfalfa and 0.10 t/kW•h (0.08 ton/hp•h) in straw, when equipped with 25 mm (1 in) screen.

**Instantaneous Power Requirements:** FIGURE 5 shows the average power consumption at various feedrates. Instantaneous power input fluctuates rapidly due to non-uniform feeding to the hammer mill and governor sensitivity. Peak power requirements are much greater than those shown in FIGURE 5. A typical one-minute long instantaneous record of power input while grinding baled alfalfa hay is shown in FIGURE 6. As can be seen, input power fluctuated rapidly during one minute of operation at a fixed governor setting. These wide power fluctuations represent shock loads to the tractor and grinder drive train and indicate the amount of reserve power needed to prevent tractor stalling.




FIGURE 6. Typical Instantaneous Power Requirements for a Tub Grinder.

The coefficient of variation<sup>1</sup> (TABLE 1) may be used to compare the power train shock loads and to show the possibility of tractor stalling when grinding various materials. The larger the coefficient of

variation, the higher the shock loads and the greater the possibility of tractor stalling. Large variations in power requirements may be partially controlled with the tub governors. Most of the variation, which is beyond operator control, is due to the erratic nature of feeding in most tub grinders. In general, smaller variations in power requirement occurred with loose hay or straw than with bales, due to more uniform feeding. It is recommended that the manufacturer investigate the possibility of installing a suitable flywheel on the hammer mill to reduce drive train shock loads.

TABLE 1. Coefficients of Variation of Input Power for the Haybuster H-1000 with 51 mm (2 in) Screen

Straw Bales	Straw Stacks	Alfalfa Stacks
35%	24%	37%

**Determining Expected Grinding Rate for Certain Tractor Size:** FIGURE 7<sup>2</sup> may be used to estimate the average grinding rate, which may be expected for a certain tractor size in a certain type of material when using a 51 mm (2 in) screen. FIGURE 7 presents the same data as given in FIGURE 5, but has been corrected to include the peak power fluctuations shown in TABLE 1. For example, a tractor with maximum power take-off output of 70 kW (94 hp) at 1000 rpm, expected maximum grinding rates without tractor stalling are 10.9 t/h (12.0 ton/h) in stacked alfalfa hay, 7.5 t/h (8.3 ton/h) in round barley straw bales, and 8.2 t/h (9.0 ton/h) in stacked straw. As previously discussed, changing to a 25 mm (1 in) screen would reduce the expected grinding rates to about one-half of those shown in FIGURE 7, for the same power input.




FIGURE 7. Determining Expected Average Grinding Rates With the Haybuster H-1000 for Various Tractor Sizes when Using a 51 mm (2 in) Screen.

## QUALITY OF WORK

**Length of Cut:** For a certain screen size, tub grinders produce chopped hay of varying particle lengths. FIGURE 8 shows a typical particle size distribution for the Haybuster H-1000 when grinding stacked alfalfa hay with a 51 mm (2 in) screen. TABLE 2 shows the percent by weight of each of the particle sizes given in FIGURE 8, when grinding various materials with a 51 mm (2 in) screen.

TABLE 2. Size Distribution of Ground Material when Using a 51 mm (2 in) Screen

Length of Particle	Percent of Total Sample Weight			
	Stacked Barley	Round Barley	Stacked Alfalfa	Stacked Sweet Clover
Less than 3 mm long (FIG. 8a)	9.8	8.8	28.0	38.5
3 to 10 mm (FIG. 8b)	35.4	39.1	34.8	37.5
10 to 18 mm (FIG. 8c)	21.5	18.4	12.2	9.6
18 to 25 mm (FIG. 8d)	11.1	16.3	9.2	7.4
25 to 38 mm (FIG. 8e)	17.5	14.5	12.9	3.8
Greater than 38 mm (FIG. 8f)	4.7	2.9	2.9	3.2

<sup>1</sup>The coefficient of variation is the standard deviation of the power fluctuation expressed as a percent of the mean power at one feedrate setting. The coefficients of variation given in TABLE 1 are the average of the coefficient of variation for at least six different feedrates in each material.

<sup>2</sup>FIGURE 7 is a plot of the mean power requirements plus twice the standard deviation of the power fluctuations. Instantaneous power requirements should fall below the line 98% of the time.




FIGURE 8a. Less than 3 mm long.




FIGURE 8d. 18 to 25 mm.




FIGURE 8b. 3 to 10 mm.




FIGURE 8e. 25 to 38 mm.




FIGURE 8c. 10 to 18 mm.



FIGURE 8f. Greater than 38 mm.

FIGURE 8. Distribution of Particle Lengths when Grinding Stacked Alfalfa Hay with a 51 mm (2 in) Screen. (Pictures were taken on a 2 cm grid.)

**OPERATOR SAFETY**

The Haybuster H-1000 had several potential safety hazards, which required additional caution when servicing, operating and transporting the machine.

Several safety improvements are recommended. The total weight of the conveyor, in transport position, was supported on the winch cable. It is recommended that safety transport straps be provided for the conveyor. The conveyor lift winch was an over-centre ratchet winch, which free wheeled if released in the unlocked position. It is recommended that a friction drag winch be used to eliminate this potential hazard. It is also recommended that a ladder and inspection platform be installed for tub access and servicing as climbing into the tub is both difficult and hazardous. In addition, it is recommended that shields be provided for the tub support rollers to eliminate the possibility of hands or clothing being caught.

**GENERAL SAFETY COMMENTS**

The operator is cautioned that a tub grinder is potentially very dangerous. The following precautions should be observed when operating any tub grinder:

Never stand on the inspection platform or look into the tub while the grinder is in operation as dangerous objects may be thrown out

of the tub by the hammer mill.

Never grasp loose baler twine that is hanging over the tub wall as it may be instantaneously reeled into the hammer mill causing injury.

Periodically remove twine buildup from the hammer mill rotor to reduce fire hazard and carry a fire extinguisher on the grinder at all times.

Tow the grinder behind a tractor or suitably sized truck at low speed. A light pickup truck is not suitable. Be especially careful of conveyor height and overhang when turning corners or passing under power lines.

Disengage the power take-off and stop the tractor to clear blockages or to make adjustments. The manufacturer can only go to certain limits in providing shielding and safety devices and must rely on the operator's common sense in following established safety procedures.

As is common with all tub grinders, great care must be taken to ensure that hay is free of foreign material such as barbed wire or baling wire. This is especially true when processing large round bales. Although wire presents no problem to the tub grinder, the short pieces formed after grinding are a potential source of "hardware disease" in cattle.

## OPERATOR'S MANUAL

No operator's manual was available for the Haybuster H-1000. It is recommended that a suitable operator's manual be prepared and provided.

## DURABILITY RESULTS

The Haybuster H-1000 was operated for 27 hours while processing about 273 t (300 tons) of hay and straw. The intent of the test was to evaluate functional performance and an extended durability evaluation was not conducted. No significant mechanical problems occurred during functional testing.

### APPENDIX I SPECIFICATIONS

<b>MAKE:</b>	Haybuster Tub Grinder
<b>MODEL:</b>	H-1000
<b>SERIAL NUMBER:</b>	1023
<b>MANUFACTURER:</b>	Haybuster Manufacturing Inc. Box 1008 Jamestown, North Dakota 58401 U.S.A.
<b>OVERALL DIMENSIONS:</b>	
-- width	3099 mm (122 in)
-- height	3250 mm (128 in)
-- length (with conveyor at 25°)	9144 mm (360 in)
-- ground clearance	275 mm (11 in)
<b>WEIGHT:</b>	
-- hitch	198 kg (436 lb)
-- left wheel	1074 kg (2367 lb)
-- right wheel	914 kg (2015 lb)
TOTAL	2186 kg (4818 lb)
<b>SUSPENSION:</b>	Solid
<b>TIRES:</b>	
-- size	2 - 8.55 x 15, 4-ply rating
<b>TUB:</b>	
-- top diameter	3099 mm (122 in)
-- bottom diameter	2515 mm (99 in)
-- depth of top flare	370 mm (15 in)
-- total depth	1300 mm (51 in)
-- loading height	2590 mm (102 in)
-- type of governor	hydraulic
-- tub speed range	0 to 6.5 rpm
-- drive	Chain drive from hydraulic motor.
<b>HAMMER MILL:</b>	
-- length	1145 mm (45.1 in)
-- diameter (hammers extended)	630 mm (24.8 in)
-- shaft diameter	61.9 mm (2.4 in)
-- hammers	
-length	195 mm (7.7 in)
-thickness	10 mm (0.394 in)
-type	Reversible, 4 corners
-number of rows	8
-hammers per row	8
-total number of hammers	64
-pin size	23.8 mm (0.94 in)
-- drive train	Belt driven from PTO shaft.
-- speed at 1000 rpm power take-off	1680 rpm
-- speed when governor engages tub	1590 rpm
-- speed when governor disengages tub	1500 rpm

### HAMMER MILL CONVEYOR:

-- type	2229 mm (9 in) augers
-- length	2440 mm (96 in)
-- width	813 mm (32 in)
-- minimum clearance to screen	57 mm (2.25 in)
-- drive	chain
-- conveying speed	265 rpm

### ELEVATING CONVEYOR:

-- type	Rubber slatted conveyor belt
-- length	5850 mm (230 in)
-- height at 25° incline	3048 mm (120 in)
-- width	405 mm (15.9 in)
-- depth	150 mm (5.9 in)
-- drive train	Chain drive from belt driven gearbox
-- speed	3.2 m/s (625 ft/min)

### SCREENS:

-- type	two-piece
-- length	1130 mm (44.5 in)
-- circumferential length of each screen	695 mm (27.4 in)
-- thickness	6 mm (0.24 in)
-- screened area	1.57 m <sup>2</sup> (2439 in <sup>2</sup> )

### MANUFACTURER'S MAXIMUM RECOMMENDED TRACTOR SIZE AT 1000 RPM

187 kW (250 hp)

### APPENDIX II MACHINE RATINGS

The following rating scale is used in PAMI Evaluation Reports:

(a) excellent	(d) fair
(b) very good	(e) poor
(c) good	(f) unsatisfactory

### APPENDIX III METRIC UNITS

In keeping with the Canadian metric conversion program this report has been prepared in SI Units. For comparative purposes, the following conversions may be used:

1 kilometre/hour (km/h)	= 0.62 miles/hour (mph)
1 kilogram (kg)	= 2.2 pounds (lb)
1 tonne (t)	= 2204.6 pounds (lb)
1 tonne/hour (t/h)	= 1.10 ton/hour (ton/h)
1000 millimetres (mm) = 1 metre (m)	= 39.37 inches (in)
1 kilowatt (kW)	= 1.34 horsepower (hp)
1 tonne/kilowatt hour (t/kW•h)	= 0.82 ton/horsepower hour (ton/hp•h)



**ALBERTA  
FARM  
MACHINERY  
RESEARCH  
CENTRE**

3000 College Drive South  
Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada T1K 1L6  
Telephone: (403) 329-1212  
FAX: (403) 329-5562  
<http://www.agric.gov.ab.ca/navigation/engineering/afmrc/index.html>

## Prairie Agricultural Machinery Institute

Head Office: P.O. Box 1900, Humboldt, Saskatchewan, Canada S0K 2A0  
Telephone: (306) 682-2555

Test Stations:  
P.O. Box 1060  
Portage la Prairie, Manitoba, Canada R1N 3C5  
Telephone: (204) 239-5445  
Fax: (204) 239-7124

P.O. Box 1150  
Humboldt, Saskatchewan, Canada S0K 2A0  
Telephone: (306) 682-5033  
Fax: (306) 682-5080